

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/552,641	Applicant(s) HIGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner SARAE BAUSCH	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) SARAE BAUSCH.

(2) Olga Kay.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Proposed examiner's amendments to claims 1-2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants representative agreed to the proposed amendment to the claims to place the claims in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sarae Bausch/
Primary Examiner, AU 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)